Epworth Forest Pier Administration Committee Meeting Minutes

June 4, 2010

MEMBERS PRESENT: Greg Gaither, Nick Yarde, Ruth Buell, and Brian Yahne **ADVISORY MEMBERS:** Dewey Senger

ADVISORY MEMBERS: Dewey Senger **MEMBERS NOT PRESENT:** Ron Erb

REMC REPRESENTATIVES PRESENT: Nicole Totzke, Brian Harding

CALL TO ORDER:

The meeting was called to order at 1:05pm

The Committee approved the minutes of the April 23, 2010 Pier Administration Committee Meeting as presented.

FINANCIAL REPORT: Nicole Totzke provided current financial status of both the shoreline account and Community Pier account and noted that both accounts are looking good with collections (Shoreline at approx 6% still due and Community at 2% still due).

MANAGEMENT REPORT: Nicole commented on the upcoming meeting on June 19th and provided a brief list of items to be covered. Nicole to draft a reminder to the owners with pier access regarding the meeting and provide an agenda for the meeting.

NEW BUSINESS:

Pier Assignment Management Recommendations: REMC provided detail related to individual pier assignments that are out of compliance with the current Pier Administration Policy. The Committee approved the following changes with the understanding that these changes shall go into effect at the end of the 2010 season. Until that time, the PAC also provided that the assignees effected shall have the opportunity to present an alternative option to the Committee for consideration. REMC to contact each owner effected to relay the PAC decisions related to pier assignments.

- 1. **20A Berry** The PAC could not find proof of ownership of two properties and therefore concludes that the off-shore assignment 20A is not a valid assignment. The PAC voted to eliminate 20A and the reinstate the missing assignment 21B Sturm to this location.
- 1. **25A Senger** The PAC voted to eliminate pier assignment 25A as the placement interferes with the onshore owner's pier use and therefore creates a burden to the on-shore owner.
- 2. **28A Evans** This pier assignment no longer exists along the shoreline and upon review of the current shoreline documentation it was determined that the adequate footage does not exists (as provided in the Pier Administration Policy) for off-shore pier placement.
- 3. **29B Harris** This pier assignment no longer exists along the shoreline and upon review of the current shoreline documentation it was determined that the adequate footage does not exists (as provided in the Pier Administration Policy) for off-shore pier placement.
- 4. **32A** Erb The PAC voted to eliminate pier assignment 32A as the placement interferes with the on-shore owner's pier use and therefore creates a burden to the on-shore owner.
- 5. **37C Kado** The PAC determined upon review of the Kosciusko County website, that the owner owns 3 lots and therefore concludes that the off-shore assignment 37C is not a valid assignment. The PAC voted to eliminate 37C and the reinstate the missing assignment 37B Dingledine to this location.
- 6. **40C Schlaugenauf** The PAC voted to eliminate pier assignment 40C as the placement interferes with the on-shore owner's pier use and therefore creates a burden to the on-shore owner.
- 7. **44A Turpin** This pier assignment no longer exists along the shoreline and upon review of the current shoreline documentation it was determined that the adequate footage does not exists (as provided in the Pier Administration Policy) for off-shore pier placement.
- 8. **58A Hall** This pier assignment no longer exists along the shoreline and upon review of the current shoreline documentation it was determined that the adequate footage does not exists (as provided in the Pier Administration Policy) for off-shore pier placement.

- 9. **59B Eikenberry** This pier assignment no longer exists along the shoreline and upon review of the current shoreline documentation it was determined that the adequate footage does not exists (as provided in the Pier Administration Policy) for off-shore pier placement.
- 10. **60A Arnold** The PAC voted to eliminate pier assignment 60A as the placement interferes with the onshore owner's pier use and therefore creates a burden to the on-shore owner.

Several pier assignments were also discussed in crowded areas. The Pier Committee determined that at this time, if adequate usable space exists as per the Pier Administration Policy, regardless of spacing between piers, then those pier assignments would remain unchanged at this time.

ADJOURNMENT - Meeting was adjourned at 3:25pm. Next meeting is scheduled for July 23, 2010 at 1:00pm and will be held in the community center meeting room.