05/08/2018 – 6:00pm ET

In attendance;

Richard Presser - Randy Plew - Kara Lusby - Tom Earhart - Gerry Powell

* Matt Shipmen contacted Rick Helms indicating we were going to install Community Pier - response from Helms

Matt,

My client does not consent to the installation of any “Community Pier” on its shoreline this year.  Any such will be considered a trespass and in bad faith.

As an alternative, if the EFAC wants to install a temporary pier in that location, my client would agree to the arrangement in return for weekly rent of $1,000 per week, paid in advance with a three week deposit and weekly payments thereafter, and with removal on one week notice.

Otherwise, my client has paid for the fee for an onshore pier location, has shoreline pier location number 64 assigned to it, and intends to use that assignment in the same manner as any other shoreline owner.

Rick

* The fee mentioned above was for the assignment on the Community Pier – NOT – to be considered as payment for Pier # 64 as mentioned. We did a mass mailing of invoices for 2018 from the email data which contained Community Pier assignees before it was realized that it happened. Cashing the check was an oversite due to a new Treasurer & compiling all old existing records into an electronic medium.
* Randy indicated that this was the 3rd check from the group that he cashed. We did not cash the other two that were sent. This money can be refunded or we can send a thank you note for their contribution to our general fund as it is not an agreement for installation of Pier 64 – the Community Pier.

Installation of Community Pier – Kara noted - If I didn’t mention this before, the Community pier IS on Carman’s list to install so we will need the judge to respond promptly with a Court order,

Vote tonight will be to ask Matt to prepare a motion for the Court/Judge - with a request for a temporary order for the 2018 summer allowing EFAC to install pier for the year

The judge instructed “status quo” for both the Stine and Miller/Powell cases last year until his final ruling.

* Vote was in favor for Matt to proceed – All five in agreement

Until we receive a ruling from the Court – Community Pier will not be installed,

Vote on sending a notice to all Community Pier Assignees on the status of the above issues & why we need to wait on pier installation & sending invoices for payments - along with a note to feel free to contact the Kosciusko Circuit Court expressing their support of the Community Pier would be appreciated,

* Vote was in favor to proceed – All five in agreement.

Tom

Asked if we still need to look for emails concerning Kokomo/Grace issues. Kara indicated that Matt would let us know if additional investigation was needed.

Adjourned at 6:15pm ET