#### **EFAC BoD Meeting**

# 06-29-2016

#### 6:30-8:30pm

**Attendees:** Sharon Anson, Kara Lusby, Dick Presser, Suzi Montivoni, Lindsey Grossnickle (portion of meeting)

Location: Teleconference

**Topics:** 

**Minutes** 

06/16/16 Minutes were distributed but not yet approved.

# Fees

EFAC still needs to collect fees from Virginia Barnes (pier assignment 85) property. The Board has not received any responses from them. They have now been assessed and notified of a late fee.

# Shoreline Observations

EFAC directors discussed the following assignments:

**54A**: Dick and Kara viewed the assignment together and did not find any compliance issue. 54A was 2 feet off the 54 (Turner) assignment and had footage available west for use up to 16 feet. If 54A's 16ft slightly runs over onto 53 (Miller), there is no issue because there will still be a 2 ft buffer remaining before the 53 assignment begins and there is no other offshore assigned to 53. They did not see the issue that Yahne (54A) was referring to in their inquiry to EFAC.

**79/80A**: Assignment 80 (Snavley) changed the configuration of their onshore assignment to place a jetski lift in front of their pontoon (the west side of their assignment). This is after the Board decided in our last meeting that the location of offshore assignment 79/80A (Diener) was to be 2 feet off of the Snavely pontoon lift (resulting in approximately 8 feet on 80/Snavely and another 8 feet on 79/Porter). This situation caused an obstruction issue in the Diener pier installation, but ultimately it was resolved by the relocation of Snavely's jetski. So the assignment 79/80A pier structures are within the allotted 16 ft and the assignment is in compliance, therefore there is no further action for EFAC to take with this assignment.

**101A:** Dick and Kara viewed the assignment and it was identified as a valid offshore pier assignment with adequate space, however offshore access to this space is/was in question. EFAC debated whether 101A should be considered an open assignment or a transfer of assignment as Willard Watkins requested, under the premise that 101A was Marta's offshore assignment for her 8712 E Wesley Ln

property in 2003. The board felt they needed to vote on the topic and requested Lindsey join the call to discuss and vote as well. Discussion was continued.

Documented information discussed included:

- Marta Taylor currently owns the 101 onshore property and pier assignment with her sister Carole Wilson.
- 2003: Watkins purchased Marta Taylor's offshore property.
- Watkins were never assigned a pier.
- Watkins combined their existing property with the Marta Taylor property.
- 2012: Watkins applied to be put on the pier waiting list.
- 2015: Watkins requested the 101A pier assignment be transferred to him.
- Exhibit E, given to us by Bradley in 2014, showed a 101A assignment to Marta Taylor but does not show a property address.
- Exhibit E showed that the 101A 16ft assignment was located 7 feet northwest of the 101 24ft onshore assignment.

It was unknown if there was a request made by Watkins to a pier committee for the pier to be transferred in 2003, when the Watkins purchased the Taylor property. If there was no request for a transfer in 2003, it is unknown as to why. The committee has no knowledge or evidence in previous Board notes of any pier transfers being denied during that timeframe. The committee was unaware of any documentation that defines a timeline that a pier transfer must occur within.

A 4-1(Sharon Anson) vote was made that the 101A pier assignment was not a pier transfer, but instead an available pier assignment to be offered to the individual first in line on the pier waiting list (pending the path of access to the assignment is determined). The majority of the Board felt that too much time had passed since the property's purchase to consider it a transfer.

Sharon stated that she would contact the Watkins to let them know the Board's decision and inform them they had the right to appeal.

#### **Financials**

The Board approved a payment of \$25 to Link Accounting.

Due to the time, the directors agreed to move the remaining discussion topics to the next Board meeting.